



9

"PATENT"

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Paul K. Ladwig, et al

SERIAL NUMBER: 09/574,261

FILED: May 19, 2000

FOR: Process for Selectively Producing Propylene in
a Fluid Catalytic Cracking Process

§
§ EXAMINER: Nadine Preisch
§
§ GROUP ART UNIT: 1764
§
§ ATTY. DOCKET NO.: 98B076E
§
§ DATE: August 23, 2002
§

RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION

Asst. Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the First Office Action dated October 3, 2001. Please
enter the following remarks.

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected all of the following claims under the judicially
created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting:

Claims 1-9 and 11-18 were rejected as being unpatentable over
claims 1-16 of US Patent No. 6,069,287 in view of Johnson et al.
(5,730,859) and Fusco et al. (5,665,949).

RECEIVED

AUG 30 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS